arXiv:1609.06101v1 [math.RT] 20 Sep 2016

Clifford theory for Yokonuma–Hecke algebras and deformation of complex reflection groups

N. Jacon and L. Poulain d'Andecy

Abstract

We define and study an action of the symmetric group on the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra. This leads to the definition of two classes of algebras. The first one is connected with the image of the algebra of the braid group inside the Yokonuma–Hecke algebras, and in turn with an algebra defined by Aicardi and Juyumaya known as the algebra of braids and ties. The second one can be seen as new deformations of complex reflection groups of type $G(d,p,n)$. We provide several presentations for both algebras and a complete study of their representation theories using Clifford theory.

1 Introduction

There are several known interesting ways to define an algebra deforming the group algebra of the complex reflection group of type $G(d,1,n)$ (the wreath product $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$ where $d,n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$). The first and maybe the most famous way leads to the "Ariki–Koike algebra" whose representation theory has been intensively studied in the last past decades, both in the semisimple and in the modular setting. Recently, several works have been presented around another deformation of this group algebra: the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra $Y_{d,n}$. One reason of its recent interest comes from knot theory where the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra allows the construction of knots and links invariants (in the same spirit as in the case of the Hecke algebra of type A).

The aim of this paper is to define two new remarkable types of algebras using the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra, to study their structures and their representation theories. To do this, we use a very natural action (by automorphisms) of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra $Y_{d,n}$ and we define our algebras to be the algebras of fixed points, first under the action of the full symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_d$, and second under the action of a certain subgroup $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ (where p divides d).

We show that, over a suitable base ring, the subalgebra $Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ of fixed points under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ coincides with the image of the group algebra of the braid group inside the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra. In other words, it coincides with the subalgebra generated by the images of the braid generators. In particular, the subalgebra $Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ is connected with an algebra defined in [1] by A. Aicardi and J. Juyumaya which has applications again in knot theory [2]. This algebra, called the algebra of braids and ties BT_n, is defined as an abstract algebra by generators and relations. In [12] and [5], Espinoza and Ryom-Hansen have shown that, when $d \geq n$, the algebra BT_n is isomorphic to the subalgebra of $Y_{d,n}$ generated by the images of the braid generators. The authors also studied the representation theory of BT_n. They gave a construction of the irreducible representations in the semisimple case using a cellular structure.

As a consequence of the results of this paper, we obtain that the algebra BT_n is isomorphic to the algebra $Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ of fixed points under the action of the symmetric group. We moreover show that the algebra BT_n is isomorphic to the subalgebra generated by the images of the braid generators if and only if $d \geq n$ (in particular, we give a different proof of the "if" part using the description as a subalgebra of fixed points). Importantly, this approach allows to study the representation theory, in both the semisimple and the modular situations, of the algebra BT_n (as a particular case of $Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$) in a quite simple way using Clifford theory and the known representation theory of $Y_{d,n}$. This gives a more conceptual proof for the parametrization result of the simple modules obtained in [12] in the semisimple case, and a new parametrization result in the non semisimple one.

The second class of algebras provide deformations of the group algebras of complex reflection groups of type $G(d,p,n)$, as we will explain now. As noted above, the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra can be seen as a deformation of the complex reflection groups of type $G(d,1,n)$. The complex reflection groups of this type are particular cases of the unique infinite family $G(d,p,n)$ (where p divides d) of irreducible complex reflection groups in the classification by Shephard and Todd. Thus, it is natural to ask if one can define a new class of algebras deforming the whole infinite series in the same spirit as the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra deforms the group algebra of $G(d,1,n)$. We here show that such algebras naturally appear in our setting. To do this, if p is an integer dividing d, we consider the action of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ (as a subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_d$) by automorphisms on $Y_{d,n}$. We then show that the subalgebra of fixed points $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ can be interpreted as a (new) deformation of the group algebra of the complex reflection group of type $G(d,p,n)$, thus generalizing the known description of $G(d,p,n)$ as a subgroup of $G(d,1,n)$. In particular, for $p=d=2$, we obtain a deformation of the group algebra of the Weyl group of type D_n, and we will provide in this case a rather simple explicit presentation.

We study the structure of the algebra $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ by giving several bases and presentations of it. In particular, we show that this deformation can be described as a quotient of the braid group of type $G(d,p,n)$ over certain relations that we give explicitly. We moreover study its representation theory, again in both the semisimple and modular cases using Clifford theory. We note that, as for the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra in the $G(d,1,n)$ situation, a parametrization of the simple modules is easier to obtain than for the usual Hecke algebras associated to these complex reflection groups.

The paper will be organized as follows. We describe the action of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra in Section 2. This action is then intensively used in the rest of the paper. In Section 3, we recall several aspects of the representation theory of the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra. We then use Clifford theory to obtain a parametrization result of the simple modules for the subalgebra of fixed points of $Y_{d,n}$ under the action of an arbitrary subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_d$. The two last parts are then devoted to the study of the subalgebra of fixed points in two particular cases. Section 4 concerns the case of the full symmetric group, while Section 5 deals with the subgroups $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

Acknowledgement. The first author is supported by Agence National de la Recherche Project ACORT ANR-12-JS01-0003.

2 Yokonuma–Hecke algebras and action of the symmetric group

Let $d,n\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and q be an indeterminate. In this section, we work over the ring $\mathbb{C}[q,q^{-1}]$. We here recall the definition of the Yokonuma–Hecke algebras $Y_{d,n}$ and some of the properties we need in the following. We end the section with the definition of the action of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on $Y_{d,n}$.

2.1 Definitions

We denote $\mathfrak{S}_n$ the symmetric group on n letters and π_j, for $j\in\{1,\dots,n-1\}$ the transposition $(j,j+1)\in\mathfrak{S}_n$. The Yokonuma–Hecke algebra $Y_{d,n}$ is the associative $\mathbb{C}[q,q^{-1}]$-algebra with generators

$$g_1,\dots,g_{n-1},t_1,\dots,t_n,$$

subject to the following defining relations (1)–(2):

$$\begin{array}{rcll} g_ig_j & = & g_jg_i & \text{for } i,j\in\{1,\dots,n-1\} \text{ such that } |i-j|>1\,,\\ g_ig_{i+1}g_i & = & g_{i+1}g_ig_{i+1} & \text{for } i\in\{1,\dots,n-2\}\,,\\ g_i^2 & = & 1+(q-q^{-1})\,e_i\,g_i & \text{for } i\in\{1,\dots,n-1\}\,, \end{array} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcll} t_it_j & = & t_jt_i & \text{for } i,j\in\{1,\dots,n\}\,,\\ g_it_j & = & t_{\pi_i(j)}g_i & \text{for } i\in\{1,\dots,n-1\} \text{ and } j\in\{1,\dots,n\}\,,\\ t_j^d & = & 1 & \text{for } j\in\{1,\dots,n\}\,, \end{array} \tag{2}$$

where $e_i := \frac{1}{d} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq d} t_i^s t_{i+1}^{-s}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. The elements e_i are idempotents and we have:

$$g_i^{-1} = g_i - (q - q^{-1})e_i \qquad \text{for all } i = 1, \ldots, n-1\,. \tag{3}$$

We note also the following easily verified characteristic equation for the generators g_i:

$$(g_i^2 - 1)(g_i^2 - (q - q^{-1})g_i - 1) = 0\,, \qquad \text{for all } i = 1, \ldots, n-1\,. \tag{4}$$

Let $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\pi_{i_1} \ldots \pi_{i_k}$ a reduced expression for w. We define $g_w := g_{i_1} \ldots g_{i_k}$. Then the following set forms a basis of $Y_{d,n}$ [8]:

$$\{\ t_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots t_n^{\alpha_n} g_w \mid \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \{1, \ldots, d\}\,,\ w \in \mathfrak{S}_n\ \}\,. \tag{5}$$

As a consequence, the commutative subalgebra $\mathcal{T}_{d,n} := \langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle$ of $Y_{d,n}$ generated by $t_1, \ldots, t_n$ is isomorphic to the group algebra of $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^n$ over $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$.

2.2 Another presentation of $Y_{d,n}$

Ordered partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. For the definition below, note that for $I = (I_1, \ldots, I_d) \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)$, some of the subsets $I_1, \ldots, I_d$ are allowed to be empty. We emphasize that the ordering of the subsets in I is relevant.

Definition 2.1. An *ordered partition* of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is a multiplet $(I_1, \ldots, I_d)$ of d pairwise disjoint subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_d = \{1, \ldots, n\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_d(n)$ the set of ordered partitions of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ into d parts.

Let $I = (I_1, \ldots, I_d) \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)$ and $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. We define the "position" of j in I by

$$\mathrm{pos}_j(I) := a\,, \qquad \text{if } j \in I_a. \tag{6}$$

Let $I = (I_1, \ldots, I_d) \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)$. We consider the following two natural actions of symmetric groups $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on the set $\mathcal{P}_d(n)$:

- Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. We set:
$$\pi(I) := \big(\pi(I_1), \ldots, \pi(I_d)\big)\,,$$
where $\pi(S) := \{\pi(s) \mid s \in S\}$ for any $S \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$.
- Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$. We set:
$$I^\sigma := \big(I_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, I_{\sigma^{-1}(d)}\big)\,.$$

We note that, for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$, we have (recall that $\mathrm{pos}_i(I) \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ by definition):

$$\mathrm{pos}_i(I^\sigma) = \sigma\big(\mathrm{pos}_i(I)\big)\,. \tag{7}$$

Characters of $\mathcal{T}_{d,n}$. Recall that the subalgebra $\mathcal{T}_{d,n} = \langle t_1, \ldots, t_n \rangle$ of $Y_{d,n}$ is isomorphic to the group algebra of $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^n$. We will implicitly make and use this identification in all the following.

Let $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d\}$ be the set of complex roots of unity of order d such that $\xi_1 := \exp(2i\pi/d)$ and $\xi_j = \xi_1^j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, d$. An irreducible complex character χ of the subalgebra $\mathcal{T}_{d,n}$ is determined by the choice of $\chi(t_j) \in \{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d\}$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

The set of irreducible complex characters of $\mathcal{T}_{d,n}$ is in bijection with the set $\mathcal{P}_d(n)$. The bijection is given by associating to a character χ the ordered partition $I(\chi) \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)$ defined by

$$I(\chi) = (I(\chi)_1, \ldots, I(\chi)_d)\,, \qquad \text{where } I(\chi)_a := \{j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \mid \chi(t_j) = \xi_a\}\,. \tag{8}$$

Furthermore, the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ acts naturally on the set of irreducible complex characters of $\mathcal{T}_{d,n}$. For $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, the character $\pi(\chi)$ is defined by $\pi(\chi)\big(t_j\big) := \chi(t_{\pi^{-1}(j)})$, for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. It is straightforward

to check that this action corresponds to the action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on $\mathcal{P}_d(n)$ given above in the following sense: the character $\pi(\chi)$ corresponds to $\pi(I)$ if χ corresponds to the partition I (that is, $\pi\big(I(\chi)\big) = I\big(\pi(\chi)\big)$).

Now, for χ an irreducible complex character of $\mathcal{T}_{d,n}$, let E_χ be the corresponding primitive idempotent. A formula for E_χ is:

$$E_\chi = \prod_{1\le i\le n} \Big(\frac{1}{d} \sum_{1\le s\le d} \chi(t_i)^s t_i^{-s}\Big) \ . \tag{9}$$

The primitive idempotents E_χ, where χ runs over the set of irreducible complex characters, form a complete set of pairwise orthogonal idempotents of $\mathcal{T}_{d,n}$. In particular, they form a basis of the subalgebra $\mathcal{T}_{d,n}$.

Therefore, for $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, the element e_i can be expressed in terms of the primitive idempotents E_χ. Explicitly, since we have $\chi(e_i) = 1$ if $\chi(t_i) = \chi(t_{i+1})$ and 0 otherwise, we obtain

$$e_i = \sum_{\chi(t_i)=\chi(t_{i+1})} E_\chi \ , \tag{10}$$

where the sum is over all irreducible complex characters χ such that $\chi(t_i) = \chi(t_{i+1})$.

Another presentation of $Y_{d,n}$. For $I \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)$, we define $E_I := E_\chi$, where χ is the character such that $I = I(\chi)$. From the knowledge of the basis (5) of $Y_{d,n}$, it follows that the following set also forms a basis of $Y_{d,n}$:

$$\{\ E_I g_w \mid I \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)\,,\ w \in \mathfrak{S}_n\ \}\ . \tag{11}$$

In particular, the algebra $Y_{d,n}$ is generated by the elements

$$g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad E_I\,,\ \ I \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)\ . \tag{12}$$

A set of defining relations is (1) together with:

$$\begin{array}{rcll} E_I E_J &=& \delta_{I,J} E_I & \text{for } I, J \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)\,, \\ g_i E_I &=& E_{\pi_i(I)} g_i & \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \text{ and } I \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)\,, \\ \sum_{I\in\mathcal{P}_d(n)} E_I &=& 1\ , & \end{array} \tag{13}$$

where, following (10), we have now, for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$e_i = \sum_{\substack{I\ \in\ \mathcal{P}_d(n) \\ \mathrm{pos}_i(I) = \mathrm{pos}_{i+1}(I)}} E_I \ , \tag{14}$$

where $\mathrm{pos}_j(I)$ is the position defined in (6). Relations (13) are obviously satisfied in $Y_{d,n}$. The stronger assertion that they form with (1) a set of defining relations follows easily from the fact that they allow to write any product of the generators (12) as a linear combination of the basis elements (11).

2.3 Action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on $Y_{d,n}$

Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$. We define a linear map $Y_{d,n} \ni x \mapsto x^\sigma \in Y_{d,n}$ by extending linearly the following formula:

$$(E_I g_w)^\sigma := E_{I^\sigma} g_w\,, \qquad \text{for } I \in \mathcal{P}_d(n) \text{ and } w \in \mathfrak{S}_n. \tag{15}$$

Proposition 2.2. *The map (15) induces an action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on $Y_{d,n}$ by automorphisms of algebras.*

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$. The map (15) is given on the generators of $Y_{d,n}$ by

$$E_I^\sigma := E_{I^\sigma}\,, \ \text{ for } I \in \mathcal{P}_d(n), \quad \text{and} \quad g_i^\sigma := g_i\,, \ \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1. \tag{16}$$

We shall check that the map $x \mapsto x^\sigma$ is an algebra automorphism of $Y_{d,n}$, by verfying that the formulas (16) preserve the defining relations (1) and (13).

We first consider the defining relations (1). For the braid relations, there is nothing to check. For the quadratic relation, it is enough to note that $e_i^\sigma = e_i$. This follows directly from Formula (14) together with the obvious fact that $\mathrm{pos}_i(I^\sigma) = \mathrm{pos}_{i+1}(I^\sigma)$ if and only if $\mathrm{pos}_i(I) = \mathrm{pos}_{i+1}(I)$. Now, it remains to consider the defining relations (13).

- First, we note that, for $I, J \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)$, we have $I^\sigma = J^\sigma$ if and only if $I = J$ (all the stabilizers of the action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on $\mathcal{P}_d(n)$ are trivial). This gives the first line of (13).
- For the second line, it is enough to remark that the two actions of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on $\mathcal{P}_d(n)$ commute, and therefore $\pi_i(I^\sigma) = \pi_i(I)^\sigma$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $I \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)$.
- For the third line of (13), we have obviously $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)} E_{I^\sigma} = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)} E_I$.

The proof of the proposition is concluded by noticing that Formulas (15), for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$, indeed define an action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on $Y_{d,n}$ since $I \mapsto I^\sigma$ is an action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on $\mathcal{P}_d(n)$. □

For any subgroup G of $\mathfrak{S}_d$, G acts on $Y_{d,n}$ by automorphisms. We denote $Y_{d,n}^G$ the subalgebra of $Y_{d,n}$ of fixed points under the action of G. Namely, the subalgebra $Y_{d,n}^G$ is formed by elements x of $Y_{d,n}$ satisfying $x^\sigma = x$ for any $\sigma \in G$.

3 Representation theory of $Y_{d,n}$

In this part, we study the representation theory of the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra using an isomorphism theorem that we first recall. Let $\theta : \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}] \to \mathbb{C}$ be a specialization. Our results will concern the algebras $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n} := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[q,q^{-1}]} Y_{d,n}$ and $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}^G := \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[q,q^{-1}]} Y_{d,n}^G$ for G, an arbitrary subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_d$.

3.1 An isomorphism theorem

Compositions of n. Let $\mathrm{Comp}_d(n)$ be the set of *d-compositions* of n, that is the set of d-tuples $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ such that $\sum_{1 \leq a \leq d} \mu_a = n$. We denote $\mu \models_d n$.

For $\mu \models_d n$, the Young subgroup $\mathfrak{S}^\mu$ is the subgroup $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{S}_{\mu_d}$ of $\mathfrak{S}_n$, where $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu_1}$ acts on the letters $\{1, \dots, \mu_1\}$, $\mathfrak{S}_{\mu_2}$ acts on the letters $\{\mu_1 + 1, \dots, \mu_2\}$, and so on.

For $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d) \models_d n$, we define $\mathcal{P}(\mu)$ to be the subset of $\mathcal{P}_d(n)$ consisting of ordered partitions $(I_1, \dots, I_d)$ satisfying in addition $|I_a| = \mu_a$ for $a = 1, \dots, d$.

Finally, the natural action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on the set of compositions of n into d parts is given by

$$\mu^\sigma := (\mu_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \mu_{\sigma^{-1}(d)})$$

if $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d) \models_d n$. We then have naturally $I^\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(\mu^\sigma)$ if $I \in \mathcal{P}(\mu)$. We set

$$m_\mu := |\mathcal{P}(\mu)| = \frac{n!}{\mu_1! \mu_2! \dots \mu_d!} .$$

Hecke algebra. By definition, the Iwahori–Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_n$ over $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$ has a presentation by generators $T_1, \dots, T_{n-1}$ and relations

$$\begin{array}{rcll} T_i T_j & = & T_j T_i & \text{for } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \text{ such that } |i-j| > 1\,, \\ T_i T_{i+1} T_i & = & T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1} & \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}\,, \\ T_i^2 & = & 1 + (q - q^{-1}) T_i & \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}\,, \end{array} \tag{17}$$

If $\mu \models_d n$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}^\mu$ the algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mu_d}$. As usual, if $\theta : \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}] \to \mathbb{C}$ is a specialization, we denote by $\mathbb{C}_\theta \mathcal{H}_n$ and $\mathbb{C}_\theta \mathcal{H}^\mu$ the associated specialized algebras.

Isomorphism. In [7], an algebra isomorphism

$$\Psi_{d,n} \ : \ Y_{d,n} \ \to \ \bigoplus_{\mu \eqdash_d n} \mathrm{Mat}_{m_\mu}(\mathcal{H}^\mu) \tag{18}$$

is given. Such an isomorphism has been previously obtained in [9], even in a more general setting. For $x \in Y_{d,n}$, the element $\Psi_{d,n}(x)$ is thus a collection of matrices indexed by compositions of n into d parts. Moreover, rows and columns of a matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{m_\mu}(\mathcal{H}^\mu)$ are indexed by the set $\mathcal{P}(\mu)$. Thus, the element $\Psi_{d,n}(x)$ is given by:

$$\big((\Psi_{d,n}(x)_{I,J})_{I,J\in\mathcal{P}(\mu)}\big)_{\mu\eqdash_d n}\,.$$

We will give the images by $\Psi_{d,n}$ of the generators E_I, $I \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)$, and $g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}$, by giving their non-zero coefficients.

First, let $I \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)$. Let $\mu \eqdash_d n$ be the composition such that $I \in \mathcal{P}(\mu)$. Then, the only non-zero coefficient in $\Psi_{d,n}(E_I)$ is

$$\Psi_{d,n}(E_I)_{I,I} = 1 \ ;$$

In other words, the image of E_I is the elementary matrix in $\mathrm{Mat}_{m_\mu}\big(\mathcal{H}^\mu\big)$ with 1 in the diagonal position corresponding to I.

Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and recall that $\pi_i := (i, i+1)$. The non-zero coefficients in $\Psi_{d,n}(g_i)$ are

$$\Psi_{d,n}(g_i)_{I,\pi_i(I)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \pi_i(I) \neq I\,, \\ 1 \otimes \dots 1 \otimes T_k \otimes 1 \cdots \otimes 1 & \text{if } \pi_i(I) = I\,, \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

where I varies in $\mathcal{P}_d(n)$, and, in the second line above, T_k is in the a-th factor if $\mathrm{pos}_i(I) = a$ and k is determined by $k = \sharp\{j \in I_a \mid j \leq i\}$.

3.2 Representation theory

Simple modules. From the isomorphism (18), we have that any simple module for $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}$ is of the form

$$V = (V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_d)^{m_\mu} = (V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_d) \otimes W_\mu, \tag{20}$$

where V_a is an irreducible module for $\mathbb{C}_\theta \mathcal{H}_{\mu_a}$ for each $a \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, and W_μ is a vector space with basis $\{w_I\}_{I\in\mathcal{P}(\mu)}$.

Let $(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d) \otimes w_I$ be an arbitrary vector in V. Then the action of the generators of $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}$ is given as follows:

$$E_I\big((v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d) \otimes w_I\big) = (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d) \otimes w_I \qquad \text{and} \qquad E_J\big((v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d) \otimes w_I\big) = 0 \quad \text{if } J \neq I\,, \tag{21}$$

$$g_i\big((v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d) \otimes w_I\big) = \begin{cases} (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d) \otimes w_{\pi_i(I)} & \text{if } \pi_i(I) \neq I\,, \\ (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes T_k(v_a) \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d) \otimes w_I & \text{if } \pi_i(I) = I\,, \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

where a and k are determined as in (19).

Parametrization. Let us now study more precisely the representation theory of $Y_{d,n}$ using the isomorphism (18). This will be needed in the following. We also fix several useful additional notations. To this extent, consider the Hecke algebra $\mathbb{C}_\theta \mathcal{H}_n$. Let us denote :

$$e := \min\{i > 0 \mid 1 + \theta(q)^2 + \dots + \theta(q)^{2i-2} = 0\}.$$

(we set $e = \infty$ if no such integer exist)

Then, by Dipper-James (see [6, Thm. 3.5.14]) the simple $\mathbb{C}_\theta\mathcal{H}_n$-modules are labeled by the set of e-regular partitions of rank n which we denote by Λ_n^e (with the convention that $\Lambda_n^\infty =: \Lambda_n$ is the set of all partitions of rank n). We thus have:

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{C}_\theta\mathcal{H}_n) = \{V^\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_n^e\}.$$

Now for $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d) \in \mathrm{Comp}_d(n)$, let us denote

$$\Lambda_\mu^e := \{\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^d) \mid \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, d\},\ \lambda^i \in \Lambda_{\mu_i}^e\}$$

For $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^d) \in \Lambda_\mu^e$, we also set :

$$V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} = (V^{\lambda^1} \otimes \ldots \otimes V^{\lambda^d}) \otimes W_{m_\mu}.$$

In our situation, using the isomorphism (18), we get (see [7, §4.1]):

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}) = \{V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \mid \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \Lambda_\mu^e,\ \mu \in \mathrm{Comp}_d(n)\}.$$

We denote by $y(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, e)$ the dimension of $V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ (it indeed only depends on e and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$). We have:

$$y(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, e) = m_\mu . \prod_{1 \le i \le d} y(\lambda^i, e),$$

where $\mu = (|\lambda^1|, \ldots, |\lambda^d|)$ and, for $i = 1, \ldots, d$, the number $y(\lambda^i, e)$ is the dimension of the simple $\mathcal{H}_{\mu_i}$-module V^{λ^i}. Note that if $e \in \mathbb{N}$, this dimension may be recursively computed using the LLT algorithm and the connection with the theory of canonical bases for Fock spaces (we refer to [6, Ch. 4, 5] for details.) In the case where $e = \infty$, all partitions are e-regular. Moreover, the dimension is given in thise case by $n!$ divided by the product of the hook lengths of the Young diagrams of the λ^i's.

Relation with cyclotomic Ariki-Koike algebras. The algebra $Y_{d,n}$ is a deformation of the complex reflection group of type $G(d, 1, n)$ over $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$. On the other hand, there exists another well known one-parameter deformation of $G(d, 1, n)$ over $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$: the cyclotomic (specialization of) Ariki–Koike algebra (see [6, §5.5]). Let $(m_1, \ldots, m_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ and set $\eta_d := \exp(2i\pi/d)$. The cyclotomic Ariki–Koike algebra $\mathbb{H}_{d,n}$ has a presentation by generators T_0, T_1, …, T_{n-1} and relations :

$$\begin{array}{rcll} T_iT_j & = & T_jT_i & \text{for } i,j \in \{1,\ldots,n-1\} \text{ such that } |i-j|>1\,, \\ T_iT_{i+1}T_i & = & T_{i+1}T_iT_{i+1} & \text{for } i \in \{1,\ldots,n-2\}\,, \\ T_0T_1T_0T_1 & = & T_1T_0T_1T_0, & \\ T_i^2 & = & 1+(q-q^{-1})\,T_i & \text{for } i \in \{1,\ldots,n-1\}\,, \end{array} \tag{23}$$

together with the relation

$$(T_0 - \eta_d^0 q^{2m_1})(T_0 - \eta_d^1 q^{2m_2}) \ldots (T_0 - \eta_d^{d-1} q^{2m_d}) = 0$$

It is natural to ask if $Y_{d,n}$ and $\mathbb{H}_{d,n}$ are isomorphic over $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$. They are both free with the same rank and moreover, with the specialization $\theta_1 : \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}] \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\theta_1(q) = \pm 1$, we get two algebras $\mathbb{C}_{\theta_1} Y_{d,n}$ and $\mathbb{C}_{\theta_1}\mathbb{H}_{d,n}$ which are both isomorphic to the group algebra of the complex reflection group of type $G(d, 1, n)$ over $\mathbb{C}$.

To answer this question, we can consider a specialization $\theta : \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}] \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\theta(q)^2$ is a primitive root of unity of order $d > 1$ and remark that the number of simple modules for both algebras are different in general. More precisely, thanks to Ariki's theory and the connections with the crystal basis theory for quantum groups, several possible parametrizations for the set of simple $\mathbb{C}_\theta\mathbb{H}_{d,n}$-modules are available. One can use the parametrization obtained in [6, §5] to deduce that the parametrization set of the simple modules of $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}$ that we get here is strictly contained in the one obtained in for $\mathbb{C}_\theta\mathbb{H}_{d,n}$ in the case where $n \ge d$. As a consequence, the two algebras $Y_{d,n}$ and $\mathbb{H}_{d,n}$ cannot be isomorphic.

Action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on representations. The action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on $Y_{d,n}$ is translated as an action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on the set of representations of $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}$. Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$ and $\rho : \mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n} \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$ be a representation of $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}$. Then V^σ is the $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}$-module with the same underlying vector space as V and with action given by $\rho^\sigma(x) := \rho(x^\sigma)$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}$.

Let V be a simple module as in (20) and let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$. We define a linear map Φ_σ from the vector space V to the vector space $(V_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{\sigma^{-1}(d)}) \otimes W_{\mu^\sigma}$ by

$$\Phi_\sigma \ : \ (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d) \otimes w_I \mapsto (v_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{\sigma^{-1}(d)}) \otimes w_{I^\sigma} \ . \tag{24}$$

We note that $\Phi_\sigma \circ \Phi_{\sigma'} = \Phi_{\sigma\sigma'}$.

Lemma 3.1. *The map Φ_σ gives the following isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}$-modules:*

$$V^{\sigma^{-1}} \cong (V_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{\sigma^{-1}(d)}) \otimes W_{\mu^\sigma} \ , \tag{25}$$

Proof. We need to prove that, for $x \in \mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}$ and $v \in V$, we have

$$\Phi_\sigma(x^{\sigma^{-1}} \cdot v) = x \cdot \Phi_\sigma(v) \ . \tag{26}$$

Recall that on the generators of $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}$, we have $E_I^\sigma = E_{I^\sigma}$ and $g_i^\sigma = g_i$. Then, from Formulas (21)-(22) giving the action of the generators on V, it is straightforward to check that (26) is satisfied. □

3.3 Clifford theory

Let G be a subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_d$. For each $V \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n})$, we set

$$\mathfrak{H}_V(G) := \{g \in G \mid V^g \simeq V\}$$

to be the inertia subgroup of V with respect to G. Note that for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$, we have $\mathfrak{H}_V(G) = \sigma \mathfrak{H}_{V^\sigma}(G)\sigma^{-1}$, and so, in particular, we have $\mathfrak{H}_V(G) \cong \mathfrak{H}_{V^\sigma}(G)$.

The maps Φ_σ, with $\sigma \in \mathfrak{H}_V(G)$, studied above provide a representation of $\mathfrak{H}_V(G)$ on V, and moreover, this action of $\mathfrak{H}_V(G)$ commutes with the action of $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}^G$; this follows from (26).

The following proposition is a formulation in our situation of a more general result which can be found in [11, Th. A.13].

Proposition 3.2. *For all $V \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n})$, we have a decomposition as a $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}^G \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{H}_V(G)$-module:*

$$V = \bigoplus_{M \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathfrak{H}_V(G))} V_M \otimes M \ ,$$

and the non zero V_M give a complete set of simple $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}^G$-modules. Moreover, for $(V, W) \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n})^2$, $M \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathfrak{H}_V(G))$ and $M' \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathfrak{H}_W(G))$, we have $V_M \simeq W_{M'}$ if and only if, first, there exists $g \in G$ such that $V \simeq W^g$ and second, identifying $\mathfrak{H}_V(G)$ with $\mathfrak{H}_W(G)$, we have $M \simeq M'$.

Hence, to obtain a concrete description of the simple $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}^G$-modules, it is now necessary to understand which modules V_M are non zero. In our case, the situation is quite simple as we can notice in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *Keeping the above notations, for all $V \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n})$ and $M \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathfrak{H}_V(G))$, we have $V_M \neq 0$. In addition, we have:*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_M) = \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V).\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M)}{\sharp \mathfrak{H}_V(G)} \ .$$

Proof. Let $V = (V_1 \otimes \dots V_d) \otimes W_\mu \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n})$ as in (20). Let $v = (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d) \otimes w_I \in V$. We recall that the action $I \mapsto I^\sigma$ of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ is is semiregular (that is, all the stabilizers are trivial). Therefore, it follows that such a vector v has a trivial stabilizer under the action of $\mathfrak{H}_V(G)$ described in (24). Denoting X_v the subspace of V generated by al the $\{\Phi_\sigma(v)\}_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{H}_V(G)}$, we obtain that X_v is isomorphic as a $\mathfrak{H}_V(G)$-module to the regular representation.

As a consequence of the preceding discussion, we have that V is isomorphic, as a $\mathfrak{H}_V(G)$-module, to a direct sum of finitely many copies of the regular representation. The number of copies is obviously $\dfrac{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V)}{\sharp \mathfrak{H}_V(G)}$. This shows that all the modules V_M are non zero and moreover that the multiplicity of M in the decomposition of V as a $\mathfrak{H}_V(G)$-module is

$$\frac{\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V).\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M)}{\sharp \mathfrak{H}_V(G)} \ .$$

This proves the formula for $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_M)$ and concludes the proof. □

4 Action of the symmetric group

In this section, we consider the action of the full symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on $Y_{d,n}$. We consider the algebra of fixed points under this action, study its structure and its relation with the algebra of braid and ties.

4.1 Subalgebra $Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$

Let $\mathcal{P}_d(n)/\mathfrak{S}_d$ denote the set of orbits of $\mathcal{P}_d(n)$ under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$. By definition of $\mathcal{P}_d(n)$ and of the action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on it, the orbits are in bijection with the (unordered) partitions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into d parts:

$$\mathcal{P}_d(n)/\mathfrak{S}_d = \left\{ \ \{I_1, \dots, I_d\} \text{ such that } I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_d = \{1, \dots, n\} \ \right\} .$$

Note again that some parts among $I_1, \dots, I_d$ can be empty. Denote

$$B_d(n) := |\mathcal{P}_d(n)/\mathfrak{S}_d| \ ,$$

the cardinal of $\mathcal{P}_d(n)/\mathfrak{S}_d$, which is the number of partitions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into at most d parts.

Let $[I] \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)/\mathfrak{S}_d$ be the orbit of some element $I \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)$. We set

$$E_{[I]} := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} E_{I^\sigma} \ .$$

From Formula (15) giving the action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on $Y_{d,n}$, it follows at once that

$$\{ \ E_{[I]}\, g_w \mid [I] \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)/\mathfrak{S}_d \, , \ w \in \mathfrak{S}_n \ \} \tag{27}$$

is a $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$-basis of the subalgebra $Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$. In particular, $Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ is free of rank:

$$\mathrm{rk}(Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}) = B_d(n)\, n! \ . \tag{28}$$

Elements $e_{[I]}$. We introduce some notations. First, for any $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let

$$e_{i,j} := \frac{1}{d} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq d} t_i^s t_j^{-s} \ , \tag{29}$$

so that we have in particular $e_i = e_{i,i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Note also that $e_{i,i} = 1$ by definition, and that these elements $e_{i,j}$ commute with each other. Then, for $I \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)$, we set

$$e_{[I]} := \prod_{\substack{i,\, j \,=\, 1, \dots, n \\ \mathrm{pos}_i(I) \,=\, \mathrm{pos}_j(I)}} e_{i,j} \quad \cdot \prod_{\substack{i,\, j \,=\, 1, \dots, n \\ \mathrm{pos}_i(I) \,\neq\, \mathrm{pos}_j(I)}} (1 - e_{i,j}) \ . \tag{30}$$

The element $e_{[I]}$ depends only on the class $[I]$ since it depends only on the subsets $I_1, \dots, I_d$ forming the ordered partition I and not on their ordering.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $I \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)$. We have:*

$$e_{[I]} = E_{[I]} \ .$$

Proof. By definition of $E_{[I]}$, it is the unique element of $\mathcal{T}_{d,n}$ satisfying, for all complex characters χ of $\mathcal{T}_{d,n}$,

$$\chi(E_{[I]}) = 1 \quad \text{if } I(\chi) \in [I], \qquad \text{and} \qquad \chi(E_{[I]}) = 0 \quad \text{otherwise}\,, \tag{31}$$

where $I(\chi)$ is the ordered partition in $\mathcal{P}_d(n)$ corresponding to χ. The condition $I(\chi) \in [I]$ means that $I(\chi) = I^\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d$. From the definition of $I(\chi)$, this is equivalent to the property that,

$$\text{for } i,j = 1,\dots,n: \qquad \mathrm{pos}_i(I) = \mathrm{pos}_j(I) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \chi(t_i) = \chi(t_j)\ .$$

On the other hand, we have, for any $i,j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ and any character χ, that $\chi(e_{i,j}) = 1$ if $\chi(t_i) = \chi(t_j)$ and $\chi(e_{i,j}) = 0$ otherwise. Therefore, the element $e_{[I]}$ satisfies the same formulas (31) as the element $E_{[I]}$ and thus coincides with it. □

Proposition 4.2. *The subalgebra $Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ of $Y_{d,n}$ coincides with the subalgebra generated by $g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}$ and $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$.*

Proof. From Formulas (16) giving the action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on $Y_{d,n}$, we have immediately that $g_1, \dots, g_{n-1} \in Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$. The fact that $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} \in Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ follows directly from Formula (14) with the remark that the property $\mathrm{pos}_i(I) = \mathrm{pos}_{i+1}(I)$ is unaltered by the action of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ on I.

Reciprocally, Lemma 4.1 shows that any element of the basis (27) of $Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ is in the subalgebra generated by $g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}$ and $e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}$. □

Remark 4.3.

(i) Due to Proposition 4.2, the algebra $Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ of fixed points under the action of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_d$ has the following interpretation and motivation in connections with knot theory. The generators g_i's are invertible and satisfy the braid relations, thus providing a morphism from the algebra of the braid group on n strands into $Y_{d,n}$. By definition, the image of this morphism is the subalgebra generated by elements $g_i^{\pm 1}$'s.

Let extend the ring $\mathbb{C}[q,q^{-1}]$ by localizing it with respect to $q-q^{-1}$. Over this extended ring, it follows from Proposition 4.2 and the formula $g_i^{-1} = g_i - (q-q^{-1})e_i$ that $Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ coincides with the subalgebra generated by the $g_i^{\pm 1}$'s, and therefore coincides with the image of the algebra of the braid group inside $Y_{d,n}$.

(ii) Due to the characteristic equation (4), the image of the algebra of the braid group (over $\mathbb{C}[q,q^{-1}]$) inside $Y_{d,n}$ can also be described as the subalgebra generated only by the generators g_i's.

4.2 The algebra of braids and ties

The algebra BT_n is the associative $\mathbb{C}[q,q^{-1}]$-algebra with generators

$$\widetilde{g}_1, \dots, \widetilde{g}_{n-1}, \widetilde{e}_1, \dots, \widetilde{e}_{n-1},$$

subject to the defining relations:

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{g}_i\widetilde{g}_j &=& \widetilde{g}_j\widetilde{g}_i & \text{for } i,j \in \{1,\dots,n-1\} \text{ such that } |i-j|>1\,, \\ \widetilde{g}_i\widetilde{g}_{i+1}\widetilde{g}_i &=& \widetilde{g}_{i+1}\widetilde{g}_i\widetilde{g}_{i+1} & \text{for } i \in \{1,\dots,n-2\}\,, \\ \widetilde{g}_i^2 &=& 1 + (q-q^{-1})\,\widetilde{e}_i\,\widetilde{g}_i & \text{for } i \in \{1,\dots,n-1\}\,, \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{e}_i^2 &=& \widetilde{e}_i & & \text{for } i \in \{1,\dots,n-1\}\,, \\ \widetilde{e}_i\widetilde{e}_j &=& \widetilde{e}_j\widetilde{e}_i & & \text{for } i,j \in \{1,\dots,n-1\}\,, \\ \widetilde{g}_i\widetilde{e}_j &=& \widetilde{e}_j\widetilde{g}_i & & \text{for } i,j \in \{1,\dots,n-1\} \text{ such that } |i-j| \neq 1\,, \\ \widetilde{e}_i\widetilde{g}_j\widetilde{g}_i &=& \widetilde{g}_j\widetilde{g}_i\widetilde{e}_j & & \text{for } i,j \in \{1,\dots,n-1\} \text{ such that } |i-j| = 1\,, \\ \widetilde{e}_i\widetilde{e}_j\widetilde{g}_j &=& \widetilde{e}_i\widetilde{g}_j\widetilde{e}_i &=& \widetilde{g}_j\widetilde{e}_i\widetilde{e}_j \quad \text{for } i,j \in \{1,\dots,n-1\} \text{ such that } |i-j| = 1\,. \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

It is a straightforward verification to check that all these defining relations are satisfied in $Y_{d,n}$, for any $d > 0$, if we replace elements $\widetilde{g}_i$ by g_i and elements $\widetilde{e}_i$ by e_i. In other words, there is a morphism of algebras from BT_n to $Y_{d,n}$ given by

$$\phi_{d,n} \quad : \quad \widetilde{g}_i \mapsto g_i \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{e}_i \mapsto e_i\,, \qquad \text{for } i = 1,\dots,n-1. \tag{34}$$

For $i = 1,\dots,n-1$, let $\widetilde{e}_{i,i} := 1$. For $i,j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, if $i < j$ then let

$$\widetilde{e}_{i,j} := \widetilde{g}_{j-1}\dots\widetilde{g}_{i+1}\cdot\widetilde{e}_i\cdot\widetilde{g}_{i+1}^{-1}\dots\widetilde{g}_{j-1}^{-1}\,,$$

so that we have in particular $\widetilde{e}_{i,i+1} = \widetilde{e}_i$ for $i = 1,\dots,n-1$. Finally if $i > j$ let $\widetilde{e}_{i,j} := \widetilde{e}_{j,i}$.

Remark 4.4. For any $i,j = 1,\dots,n-1$, we have $\phi_{d,n}(\widetilde{e}_{i,j}) = e_{i,j}$, with $e_{i,j}$ defined in (29).

Consider an arbitrary (unordered) partition of the set $\{1,\dots,n\}$. Of course it has at most n non-empty parts so we can see it as a class $[I] \in \mathcal{P}_n(n)/\mathfrak{S}_n$ for a certain element I in $\mathcal{P}_n(n)$. We set

$$\tilde{f}_{[I]} := \prod_{\substack{i,j\,=\,1,\dots,n \\ \mathrm{pos}_i(I) \,=\, \mathrm{pos}_j(I)}} \widetilde{e}_{i,j}\,, \tag{35}$$

which is well-defined for similar reasons as elements $e_{[I]}$ in (30).

In [12], it is proved by direct calculations that the following set is a spanning set of BT_n:

$$\{\ \tilde{f}_{[I]}\widetilde{g}_w \mid [I] \in \mathcal{P}_n(n)/\mathfrak{S}_n\,,\ w \in \mathfrak{S}_n\ \}\,, \tag{36}$$

where $\widetilde{g}_w$, for $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, is defined as in $Y_{d,n}$ since the braid relations are satisfied in BT_n.

Using the results on $Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ from the previous subsection, we obtain the following corollary on the structure of BT_n.

Corollary 4.5. ***(i)*** *The set (36) is a $\mathbb{C}[q,q^{-1}]$-basis of BT_n, which is therefore free of rank $B_n(n)\,n!$.*

(ii) *The algebra BT_n is isomorphic to the subalgebra of $Y_{d,n}$ generated by $g_1,\dots,g_{n-1},e_1,\dots,e_{n-1}$ if and only if $d \geq n$.*

Proof. First note that for any $d > 0$, the image of the morphism $\phi_{d,n}$ defined in (34) is the subalgebra of $Y_{d,n}$ generated by $g_1,\dots,g_{n-1},e_1,\dots,e_{n-1}$, which is, by Proposition 4.2, isomorphic to $Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$. We know that $Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ is free of rank $B_d(n)\,n!$.

Assume that $d \geq n$. Then, in this case, we have $B_d(n) = B_n(n)$. Therefore the image of the set (36) by $\phi_{d,n}$ form a spanning set of $Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ of cardinality the rank of $Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$. We conclude that the set (36) is linearly independent in BT_n, which shows **(i)**. We also conclude that, when $d \geq n$, the morphism $\phi_{d,n}$ provides an isomorphism between BT_n and the subalgebra of $Y_{d,n}$ generated by $g_1,\dots,g_{n-1},e_1,\dots,e_{n-1}$.

Now let $d < n$. Then in this case $B_d(n) < B_n(n)$ (since, the partition $\{1\}\cup\dots\cup\{n\}$ is not counted in $B_d(n)$). We already know that the rank of BT_n is $B_n(n)\,n!$ while the rank of the subalgebra of $Y_{d,n}$ generated by $g_1,\dots,g_{n-1},e_1,\dots,e_{n-1}$ is $B_d(n)\,n!$. We conclude that they cannot be isomorphic. □

Remark 4.6. Item **(i)** was proved in [12] by a different argument relying on the construction of a certain faithful representation of BT_n on a tensor space. The "if" part of **(ii)** was subsequently obtained in [5].

4.3 Parametrization of the simple $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$-modules

Let us now apply the results of Subsection 3.3 in order to study the representation theory of $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ where $\theta : \mathbb{C}[q,q^{-1}] \to \mathbb{C}$ is a specialization. We first need to understand precisely the structure of the inertia subgroups. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \Lambda_\mu^e$ with $\mu \in \mathrm{Comp}_d(n)$. There exists $k := k(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \in \{1,\dots,d\}$ and $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq d$ such that $\lambda^{i_1} \neq \dots \neq \lambda^{i_k}$ and such that

$$\{\lambda^{i_1},\dots,\lambda^{i_k}\} = \{\lambda^1,\dots,\lambda^d\}.$$

We set for all $s = 1,\dots,k$:

$$J_s = \{j \in \{1,\dots,d\} \mid \lambda^j = \lambda^{i_s}\},$$

so that we have

$$\{1,\dots,n\} = J_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup J_k.$$

Then the inertia subgroup of $V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ as defined by [11] is

$$\mathfrak{H}_{V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}(\mathfrak{S}_d) = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d \mid \forall s \in \{1,\dots,k\}, \sigma(J_s) = J_s\}.$$

This is a Young subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ conjugate to

$$\mathfrak{S}_{|J_1|} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}_{|J_k|}.$$

The cardinal $|J_1|!\dots|J_k|!$ of $\mathfrak{H}_{V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}(\mathfrak{S}_d)$ is denoted by $x(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

Remark 4.7. If we have $\lambda^i \neq \lambda^j$ for all $i \neq j$, we obtain $\mathfrak{H}_{V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}(\mathfrak{S}_d) = \{\mathrm{Id}\}$ and if $\lambda^1 = \dots = \lambda^d$, we obtain $\mathfrak{H}_{V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}(\mathfrak{S}_d) = \mathfrak{S}_d$.

In the general case, note that the simple $\mathfrak{H}_{V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}(\mathfrak{S}_d)$-modules are parametrized by the set

$$\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) := \{\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu^1,\dots,\nu^k),\ \forall i \in \{1,\dots,k\},\ \nu^i \in \Lambda_{|J_i|}\}$$

and we denote

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathfrak{H}_{V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}(\mathfrak{S}_d)) = \{S^{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \mid \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\}$$

We can then apply Proposition 3.3 to deduce the following result which provides a parametrization of the simple $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$-modules. Such a parametrization has been also obtained in [12] in the semisimple case (that is for $e >> 0$ or $e = \infty$).

Theorem 4.8. *Keeping the above notations, the simple $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$-modules are given by the set*

$$\{V_{S^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \mid \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \Lambda_\mu^e, \mu \in \mathrm{Comp}_d(n), \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\}$$

and we have $V_{S^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \simeq V_{S^{\boldsymbol{\nu}'}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'}$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}'$ are in the same $\mathfrak{S}_d$-orbit and $\boldsymbol{\nu} = \boldsymbol{\nu}'$. In addition we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{S^{\boldsymbol{\nu}}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = \frac{y(\boldsymbol{\lambda},e)(\prod_{1\leq i\leq k} y(\nu^i,\infty))}{x(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}$$

5 Action of the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$

In this section, we consider the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ (for p dividing d) as a subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_d$, and we study its action on the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra. We will see that the subalgebra of fixed points can be seen as a new deformation of the complex reflection group of type $G(d,p,n)$.

5.1 Subalgebra $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$

Let us consider the element $\sigma_{d/p}$ of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ such that for all $a \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ we have $\sigma_{d/p}(a) = a + d/p (\mathrm{mod}\ d)$.

Let $I \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)$. By construction of the idempotent E_I, we have $t_i E_I = \xi_{\mathrm{pos}_i(I)} E_I$ for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. We recall that the d-th roots of unity $\{\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_d\}$ are ordered such that $\xi_1 := \exp(2i\pi/d)$ and $\xi_a = \xi_1^a$ for $a = 1, \ldots, d$. Using Formula (7), we obtain

$$t_i E_{I^{\sigma_{d/p}}} = \xi_{\mathrm{pos}_i(I)+d/p(\mathrm{mod}\ d)} E_{I^{\sigma_{d/p}}} = \xi_1^{d/p} t_i E_{I^{\sigma_{d/p}}} \ .$$

Since this is true for any $I \in \mathcal{P}_d(n)$, we have that, for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, the action of $\sigma_{d/p}$ on the generators t_i is given by:

$$t_i^{\sigma_{d/p}} = \xi_1^{d/p} t_i \ , \tag{37}$$

where $\xi_1^{d/p} = \exp(2i\pi/p)$ is thus a primitive root of order p. Note that the action on t_i of an arbitrary element of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ is in general far more complicated to describe. We consider the action on $Y_{d,n}$ of the subgroup generated by $\sigma_{d/p}$, which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. We denote $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ the corresponding subalgebra of fixed points.

We will use the following notation. For $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, we set

$$a_i := t_i^{-1} t_{i+1} \ ,$$

and we set $a_0 = t_1^p$. Note that we have $a_0^{d/p} = 1$ and $a_i^d = 1$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. The following proposition gives a basis of $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proposition 5.1. *A basis of $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ is given by the following set*

$$\mathfrak{B}_1 := \{\ t_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots t_n^{\alpha_n} g_w \mid \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\}\,,\ \alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_n \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\, p)\,,\ w \in \mathfrak{S}_n\ \}\ . \tag{38}$$

In addition, the elements of this basis can be rewritten as follows:

$$\{\ a_0^{\beta_0} \ldots a_{n-1}^{\beta_{n-1}} g_w \mid \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1} \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\}\,,\ \beta_0 \in \{0, \ldots, d/p-1\}\,,\ w \in \mathfrak{S}_n\} \ . \tag{39}$$

In particular we have

$$\mathrm{rk}(Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}) = d^n n!/p \ .$$

Proof. Consider the standard basis (5) of $Y_{d,n}$. Each of these elements is multiplied by a power of $\xi_1^{d/p}$ under the action of $\sigma_{d/p}$. It is easy to see that the elements in $\mathfrak{B}_1$ are precisely the ones which are multiplied by 1. Therefore, for an arbitrary element h of $Y_{d,n}$ written as a linear combination of the standard basis elements, we have $h \in Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ if and only if h is a linear combination of the elements in $\mathfrak{B}_1$. This shows that $\mathfrak{B}_1$ is a generating set of $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$. The linear independence of the elements of $\mathfrak{B}_1$ follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{B}_1$ is a subset of the standard basis of $Y_{d,n}$.

Now let us consider an element $y = t_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots t_n^{\alpha_n}$ with $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and $\alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_n \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\ p)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} y &= t_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots t_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n} a_{n-1}^{\alpha_n} \\ &= \ldots \\ &= t_1^{\sum_{1 \le i \le n} \alpha_i} a_1^{\sum_{2 \le i \le n} \alpha_i} \ldots a_{n-2}^{\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n} a_{n-1}^{\alpha_n} \\ &= a_0^{(\sum_{1 \le i \le n} \alpha_i)/p} a_1^{\sum_{2 \le i \le n} \alpha_i} \ldots a_{n-2}^{\alpha_{n-1}+\alpha_n} a_{n-1}^{\alpha_n} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that a_0 is of order d/p and, for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, a_i is of order d, this shows that any element of $\mathfrak{B}_1$ is also an element of (39). As the two sets have the same cardinality, they coincide. This concludes the proof. ∎

Proposition 5.2. *There is a presentation of $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ by generators $g_1, g_2, \dots g_{n-1}, a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$ and the following relations:*

- **(R1)** :
$$\begin{cases} g_i g_j &=& g_j g_i & \text{for } i,j \in \{1,\dots,n-1\} \text{ such that } |i-j|>1\,, \\ g_i g_{i+1} g_i &=& g_{i+1} g_i g_{i+1} & \text{for } i \in \{1,\dots,n-2\}\,, \\ g_i^2 &=& 1 + (q-q^{-1})\, e_i\, g_i & \text{for } i \in \{1,\dots,n-1\}\,, \end{cases}$$
- **(R2)** :
$$\begin{cases} a_i a_j &=& a_j a_i & \text{for } i,j \in \{0,\dots,n-1\}\,, \\ a_0^{d/p} &=& 1\,, & \\ a_i^d &=& 1 & \text{for } i \in \{1,\dots,n-1\}\,, \end{cases}$$
- **(R3)** : $g_i a_0 g_i^{-1} = \begin{cases} a_0 a_1^p & \text{if } i=1\,, \\ a_0 & \text{for } i=2,\dots,n-1\,, \end{cases}$
- **(R4)** : $g_i a_j g_i^{-1} = \begin{cases} a_{j-1} a_j & \text{if } i=j-1\,, \\ a_j^{-1} & \text{if } i=j\,, \\ a_j a_{j+1} & \text{if } i=j+1\,, \\ a_j & \text{otherwise}\,, \end{cases}$, for $i,j=1,\dots,n-1\,,$

where $e_i := \dfrac{1}{d} \displaystyle\sum_{1\le s\le d} a_i^s\,,$ *for* $i=1,\dots,n-1$.

Proof. The defining relations (R1)–(R4) are easily checked to be satisfied in $Y_{d,n}$. Let us denote $\mathfrak{Y}_{d,p,n}$ the algebra defined with the above presentation (by a slight abuse of notation, we keep the same notations than for the elements of $Y_{d,n}$). Thus we have an algebra homomorphism:

$$\Theta : \mathfrak{Y}_{d,p,n} \to Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$$

which is obviously surjective, using Proposition 5.1.

Now, the relations (R1)–(R4) clearly allows to write any element of $\mathfrak{Y}_{d,p,n}$ as a linear combination of elements in (39) (seen as a subset of elements of $\mathfrak{Y}_{d,p,n}$). So (39) is a generating set for $\mathfrak{Y}_{d,p,n}$. It is also linearly independent since it is in $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ and because Θ is a morphism. As a consequence, (39) is also a basis of $\mathfrak{Y}_{d,p,n}$ and Θ is thus bijective. □

The following lemma, that we will need later, reduces the number of generators and of relations in the above presentation of $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$.

Lemma 5.3. *There is a presentation of $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ by generators $g_1, g_2, \dots g_{n-1}, a_0, a_1$ and defining relations:*

$$\textbf{(R1)}\,,\ \textbf{(R3)}\,,\ \textbf{(R4)} \text{ for } j=1\,,\quad a_0^{d/p}=1\,,\quad a_0 a_1 = a_1 a_0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 a_2 = a_2 a_1\,,$$

where the elements a_i, $i=2,\dots,n-1$, and e_i, $i=1,\dots,n-1$ are defined by:

$$a_i := g_{i-1} g_i a_{i-1} g_i^{-1} g_{i-1}^{-1}\,,\quad i=2,\dots,n-1\,, \qquad \text{and} \qquad e_i := \frac{1}{d} \sum_{1\le s\le d} a_i^s\,,\quad i=1,\dots,n-1\,.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that the elements a_i ($i=2,\dots,n-1$) and the elements e_i ($i=1,\dots,n-1$) satisfy the relations of the lemma in $Y_{d,n}$. As the relations of the lemma are contained in (R1)–(R4), we only have to check that Relations (R1)–(R4) are consequences of the relations listed in the lemma. Note first that, using (R3), we have that $a_0 a_1^p$ is conjugate to a_0. As a_0 and a_1 commutes and $a_0^{d/p}=1$, we obtain that $a_1^d = 1$. Since all a_i, $i=1,\dots,n-1$, are conjugate, we thus have that $a_i^d=1$ for $i=1,\dots,d-1$.

We now check Relations (R4) by induction on j (for $j=1$ it is listed in the lemma). Let $j>1$. Note that, by induction hypothesis, we have $a_j = a_{j-1}^{-1} g_j a_{j-1} g_j^{-1}$. We will treat several cases.

- Let $i < j-2$ or $i > j+1$. Then g_i commutes with a_{j-1} by induction hypothesis and g_i commutes with g_j. So g_i commutes with $a_j = a_{j-1}^{-1} g_j a_{j-1} g_j^{-1}$.

- Let $i = j-2$. By induction hypothesis, we have $g_{j-2} a_{j-1} = a_{j-2} a_{j-1} g_{j-2}$ and, taking inverses, we have also $g_{j-2} a_{j-1}^{-1} = a_{j-1}^{-1} a_{j-2}^{-1} g_{j-2}$. Therefore we have, using that g_{j-2} and g_j commute,

$$g_{j-2} a_j = g_{j-2} \cdot a_{j-1}^{-1}\, g_j\, a_{j-1}\, g_j^{-1} = a_{j-1}^{-1} a_{j-2}^{-1}\, g_j\, a_{j-2} a_{j-1}\, g_j^{-1} \cdot g_{j-2} = a_j g_{j-2} \ ,$$

  where we used in the last equality that g_j commutes with a_{j-2} by induction hypothesis.

- Let $i = j-1$. By induction hypothesis, we have $g_{j-1} a_{j-1}^{-1} = a_{j-1} g_{j-1}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$g_{j-1} \cdot a_j \cdot g_{j-1}^{-1} = g_{j-1} \cdot a_{j-1}^{-1} g_j a_{j-1} g_j^{-1} \cdot g_{j-1}^{-1} = a_{j-1} \cdot g_{j-1} g_j a_{j-1} g_j^{-1} g_{j-1}^{-1} = a_{j-1} a_j \ ,$$

  by definition of a_j.

- Let $i = j$. We have:

$$g_j a_j = g_j \cdot g_{j-1} g_j a_{j-1} g_j^{-1} g_{j-1}^{-1} = g_{j-1} g_j a_{j-1}^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_{j-1}^{-1} \cdot g_j = a_j^{-1} g_j \ ,$$

  where we used successively the definition of a_j, the braid relation $g_j \cdot g_{j-1} g_j = g_{j-1} g_j \cdot g_{j-1}$, the induction hypothesis $g_{j-1} a_{j-1} = a_{j-1}^{-1} g_{j-1}$ and the braid relation $g_{j-1} \cdot g_j^{-1} g_{j-1}^{-1} = g_j^{-1} g_{j-1}^{-1} \cdot g_j$.

- Let $i = j+1$. By definition, we have $a_{j+1} = g_j g_{j+1} a_j g_{j+1}^{-1} g_j^{-1}$. We replace a_j by $a_{j-1}^{-1} g_j a_{j-1} g_j^{-1}$ and we find

$$a_{j+1} = g_j g_{j+1} \cdot a_{j-1}^{-1} g_j a_{j-1} g_j^{-1} \cdot g_{j+1}^{-1} g_j^{-1} = g_j a_{j-1}^{-1} \cdot g_{j+1} g_j g_{j+1}^{-1} \cdot a_{j-1} g_j^{-1} g_{j+1}^{-1} \ ,$$

  where we used the braid relation $g_j^{-1} g_{j+1}^{-1} g_j^{-1} = g_{j+1}^{-1} g_j^{-1} g_{j+1}^{-1}$ and that g_{j+1} commutes with a_{j-1} by induction hypothesis. Then we transform the expression $g_{j+1} g_j g_{j+1}^{-1}$ into $g_j^{-1} g_{j+1} g_j$ and we use that $g_j a_{j-1} g_j^{-1} = a_{j-1} a_j$ (and its inverse) by induction hypothesis. We obtain

$$a_{j+1} = a_j^{-1} a_{j-1}^{-1} \cdot g_{j+1} \cdot a_{j-1} a_j \cdot g_{j+1}^{-1} = a_j^{-1} g_{j+1} a_j g_{j+1}^{-1} \ ,$$

  where we used in the last equality that g_{j+1} commutes with a_{j-1} by induction hypothesis. We conclude that $g_{j+1} a_j g_{j+1}^{-1} = a_j a_{j+1}$.

It remains to check that $a_i a_j = a_j a_i$ for any $i, j \in \{0, 1 \ldots, n-1\}$ so that (R2) will be satisfied. It is enough to consider $j > i$. First let $i = 0$. We use induction on j. For $j = 1$, $a_0 a_1 = a_1 a_0$ is listed in the lemma. Let $j > 1$. From Relation (R4), we have $a_j = a_{j-1}^{-1} g_j a_{j-1} g_j^{-1}$. Since a_0 commutes with g_j by (R3) and a_0 commutes with a_{j-1} by induction hypothesis, we obtain that a_0 commutes with a_j.

Let now $i > 0$. We treat several cases.

- Let $i = 1$. We use induction on j. For $j = 2$, $a_1 a_2 = a_2 a_1$ is listed in the lemma. Let $j > 2$. We write $a_j = a_{j-1}^{-1} g_j a_{j-1} g_j^{-1}$, from Relation (R4), and then we use that a_1 commutes with g_j, from (R4), and with a_{j-1} by induction hypothesis. So a_1 commutes with a_j.

- Let now $i > 1$ and $j > i+1$. We use induction on i. We write $a_i = a_{i-1}^{-1} g_i a_{i-1} g_i^{-1}$. By (R4), a_j commutes with g_i and a_j commutes with a_{i-1} by induction hypothesis. So a_i and a_j commute.

- It remains to consider $i > 1$ and $j = i+1$. We still use induction on i. Since, from (R4), $a_i = a_{i-1}^{-1} g_i a_{i-1} g_i^{-1}$ and a_{i+1} commutes with a_{i-1} by induction hypothesis, we have that a_{i+1} commutes with a_i if and only if a_{i+1} commutes with $g_i a_{i-1} g_i^{-1}$. Recall that, by (R4), we have $g_i a_{i+1} g_i^{-1} = a_i a_{i+1}$. This easily implies the following:

$$a_{i+1} g_i^{-1} = g_i^{-1} a_i a_{i+1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad a_{i+1} g_i = a_i^{-1} g_i a_{i+1} \ .$$

We use these two relations together with the fact that a_{i+1} and a_{i-1} commute to calculate:

$$a_{i+1} \cdot g_i a_{i-1} g_i^{-1} = a_i^{-1} g_i a_{i+1} \cdot a_{i-1} g_i^{-1} = a_i^{-1} g_i a_{i-1} \cdot a_{i+1} g_i^{-1} = a_i^{-1} g_i a_{i-1} g_i^{-1} a_i \cdot a_{i+1}$$

It remains to note that a_i commutes with $g_i a_{i-1} g_i^{-1}$. This is equivalent to say that a_i commutes with a_{i-1}, which is known by induction hypothesis. This concludes the verification that a_{i+1} commutes with a_i and the proof of the lemma.

□

Remark 5.4.

(i) Consider the group generated by $g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}, a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ with all relations (R1)–(R4) except the quadratic relation for the g_i's and $a_0^{d/p} = a_i^d = 1$. Then it is easy to see, looking at the proof, that the statement of the lemma (with the quadratic relation for the g_i's and $a_0^{d/p} = 1$ removed) is still valid for this group.

(ii) We apply the preceding remark to the case $p = 1$. In this case, the group is the framed braid group $B_n \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^n$, where B_n is the usual braid group. Denote in this case $a_0 = t_1$ to be consistent. With (R3), element a_1 is now expressed as $a_1 = t_1^{-1} g_1 t_1 g_1^{-1}$, and thus can be removed from the set of generators. The lemma gives then a presentation of this group only in terms of $g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}$ and t_1. It is straightforward to see that the obtained presentation is equivalent to the following: the group $B_n \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^n$ is generated by $g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}$ and t_1 with defining relations:

$$\textbf{(Bra1)}\,, \quad g_1 t_1 g_1^{-1} = g_1^{-1} t_1 g_1\,, \quad g_1 t_1 g_1^{-1} t_1 = t_1 g_1 t_1 g_1^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad g_i t_1 = t_1 g_i \ (i = 2, \ldots, n-1)\,,$$

where **(Bra1)** consists of the two first lines in **(R1)**.

(iii) Pursuing further the preceding remark, we obtain a presentation of the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra only in terms of $g_1, \ldots, g_{n-1}$ and t_1. Indeed, if we define $t_2, \ldots, t_{n-1}$ by $t_{i+1} := g_i t_i g_i^{-1}$, then $Y_{d,n}$ is the quotient of the group algebra of $B_n \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^n$ (with the presentation above) by the relations $t_1^d = 1$ and $g_i^2 = 1 + (q - q^{-1}) e_i g_i$, where $e_i := \frac{1}{d} \sum_{1 \leq s \leq d} t_i^s t_{i+1}^{-s}$. We indicate that, in $Y_{d,n}$, the relation $g_1 t_1 g_1^{-1} = g_1^{-1} t_1 g_1$ is not necessary as a defining relation, since it is actually a consequence of the others.

Consider the specializations $q \mapsto \pm 1$ of $Y_{d,n}$. Denoting s_i the specialization of g_i, the generators are now $t_1, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$ and the relations become:

$$\textbf{(Brb1)}\,, \quad s_i^2 = 1 \ (i = 1, \ldots, n-1)\,, \quad t_1^d = 1\,, \quad s_1 t_1 s_1 t_1 = t_1 s_1 t_1 s_1 \quad \text{and} \quad s_i t_1 = t_1 s_i \ (i = 2, \ldots, n-1)\,.$$

This recovers the standard presentation of the complex reflection group $G(d, 1, n)$ and the well-known fact that $Y_{d,n}$ is a deformation of $G(d, 1, n)$.

5.2 Deformations of complex reflection groups of type $G(d, p, n)$

In the classification of irreducible complex reflection groups by Shephard–Todd, there is a collection of 34 exceptional cases, together with a unique infinite series $G(d, p, n)$, where $d, p, n \geq 1$ with p dividing d. We refer to [3] for details. The braid group of type $G(d, p, n)$ has a presentation by generators s_0, s_1, …, s_{n-1} and α_0 subject to the following relations :

- **(Br1)** :
$$\begin{cases} s_i s_j = s_j s_i & \text{for } i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\} \text{ such that } |i - j| > 1\,, \\ s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1} & \text{for } i \in \{1, \ldots, n-2\}\,, \end{cases}$$
- **(Br2)** :
$$\begin{cases} s_i s_0 = s_0 s_i & \text{for } i \in \{3, \ldots, n-1\}\,, \\ s_0 s_2 s_0 = s_2 s_0 s_2\,, \end{cases}$$

- **(Br3)** : $(s_2 s_0 s_1)^2 = (s_0 s_1 s_2)^2$,
- **(Br4)** : $\underbrace{s_1\alpha_0 s_0 s_1 s_0 s_1 \dots}_{p+1 \text{ terms}} = \underbrace{\alpha_0 s_0 s_1 s_0 s_1 \dots}_{p+1 \text{ terms}}$,
- **(Br5)** : $\begin{cases} \alpha_0 = 1 , & \text{if } p = d , \\ \alpha_0 s_0 s_1 = s_0 s_1 \alpha_0 , & \\ \alpha_0 s_i = s_i \alpha_0 & \text{for } i \in \{2, \dots, n-1\} . \end{cases}$

The complex reflection group $G(d, p, n)$ is then the quotient of the braid group of type $G(d, p, n)$ by the relations:

$$\alpha_0^{d/p} = 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad s_i^2 = 1 , \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1 . \tag{40}$$

Remark 5.5.

(i) Let $p = 1$. In this case, (Br4) gives that $s_0 = \alpha_0^{-1} s_1 \alpha_0$ and therefore, s_0 can be removed from the set of generators. It is easy to check that the second line of (Br5) becomes then $s_1\alpha_0 s_1 \alpha_0 = \alpha_0 s_1 \alpha_0 s_1$, and moreover, Relations (Br2) and (Br3) are consequences of the other defining relations. Therefore, if $d = 1$, the braid group of type $G(1, 1, n)$ is generated by $s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$ with Relations (Br1), and is then simply the usual braid group (of type A). If $d > 1$, the braid group of type $G(d, 1, n)$ is generated by $\alpha_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}$ with relations (Br1) together with

$$s_1\alpha_0 s_1 \alpha_0 = \alpha_0 s_1 \alpha_0 s_1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \alpha_0 s_i = s_i \alpha_0 , \quad i = 2, \dots, n-1 ,$$

and is then simply the braid group of type B (also called affine braid group).

(ii) Let $p = d$. In this case, α_0 is equal to 1 and thus α_0 can be removed from the set of generators. Relations (Br5) disappear and Relation (Br4) becomes $\underbrace{s_1 s_0 s_1 \dots}_{p \text{ terms}} = \underbrace{s_0 s_1 s_0 \dots}_{p \text{ terms}}$.

Assume moreover that $p = d = 2$. Then (Br4) is simply $s_0 s_1 = s_1 s_0$ and it is easy to check and well-known that in this case (Br3) is a consequence of the other defining relations. Therefore the braid group of type $G(2, 2, n)$ is simply the braid group of type D_n.

Quotients of braid groups of type $G(d, p, n)$. We now formulate the main result of this section, showing in particular that the subalgebra of fixed points $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ is a deformation of the group $G(d, p, n)$, and moreover expressing it as a quotient of the braid group of type $G(d, p, n)$.

Theorem 5.6. *The algebra $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ is isomorphic to the quotient of the group algebra of the braid group of type $G(d, p, n)$ by the relations*

$$(s_0 s_1^{-1})^d = 1 , \qquad \alpha_0^{d/p} = 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad s_i^2 = 1 + (q - q^{-1}) e_i s_i \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1) , \tag{41}$$

where $e_0 = e_1 := \dfrac{1}{d} \displaystyle\sum_{1 \le s \le d} (s_0 s_1^{-1})^s$ *and* $e_i := s_{i-1} s_i e_{i-1} s_i^{-1} s_{i-1}^{-1}$ *, for* $i = 2, \dots, n-1$.

The rest of this section will be devoted to the proof of the theorem. Before that, we give some remarks and examples, focusing on $G(d, 1, n)$ in item (i) and on the Weyl group of type D_n (that is, $G(2, 2, n)$) in item (ii).

Remark 5.7.

(i) Let $p = 1$. We pursue further Remark 5.5(i). Note first that if $d = 1$ then $s_0 = s_1$, $e_i = 1$ and the presentation of the theorem is nothing but the usual presentation of $Y_{1,n}$ (which is the Hecke algebra of type A).

Let $d > 1$. The theorem gives then a presentation of the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra $Y_{d,n}$ as a quotient of the group algebra of the braid group of type B. For convenience, let us rename in this remark $\alpha_0 = t_1$. Recall that here s_0 is removed from the set of generators since $s_0 = t_1^{-1} s_1 t_1$. Then in (41) the relation expressing s_0^2 reads $(t_1^{-1} s_1 t_1)^2 = 1 + (q - q^{-1}) e_1 t_1^{-1} s_1 t_1$. This is equivalent to $t_1 e_1 = e_1 t_1$ which is equivalent to $t_1 s_1^2 = s_1^2 t_1$, which is in turn equivalent to $s_1^{-1} t_1 s_1 = s_1 t_1 s_1^{-1}$.

Moreover, taking into account the braid relation $t_1 s_1 t_1 s_1 = s_1 t_1 s_1 t_1$, this easily implies that $t_1 s_1 t_1 s_1^{-1} = s_1 t_1 s_1^{-1} t_1$, and in turn that $(t_1^{-1} s_1 t_1 s_1^{-1})^d = 1$ using $t_1^d = 1$.

We conclude that, in this case, an equivalent formulation of the theorem is that the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra $Y_{d,n}$ is isomorphic to the quotient of the group algebra of the braid group of type B by the relations:

$$s_1^{-1} t_1 s_1 = s_1 t_1 s_1^{-1}\,, \qquad t_1^d = 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad s_i^2 = 1 + (q - q^{-1}) e_i s_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1)\,, \tag{42}$$

where $e_1 := \dfrac{1}{d} \displaystyle\sum_{1 \leq s \leq d} (t_1^{-1} s_1 t_1 s_1^{-1})^s$ and $e_i := s_{i-1} s_i e_{i-1} s_i^{-1} s_{i-1}^{-1}$, for $i = 2, \dots, n-1$.

The correspondence with the usual presentation of $Y_{d,n}$ is simply $t_1 \leftrightarrow t_1$ and $s_i \leftrightarrow g_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. The proof of the general result (to be given below) is much simpler in this case. A sketch is as follows. On one hand, note that all relations of the braid group of type B and relations (42) are satisfied in $Y_{d,n}$. On the other hand, we first reduced the number of generators and relations in the presentation of $Y_{d,n}$: this was done in Lemma 5.3 in general and made explicit for $p = 1$ in Remark 5.4(iii). So it remains to check that the relation $t_1 s_1 t_1 s_1^{-1} = s_1 t_1 s_1^{-1} t_1$ is satisfied in the quotient by (42). This is obvious using $s_1^{-1} t_1 s_1 = s_1 t_1 s_1^{-1}$ and $t_1 s_1 t_1 s_1 = s_1 t_1 s_1 t_1$.

(ii) Let $p = d = 2$. We pursue further Remark 5.5(ii), where we recalled that the braid group of type $G(2, 2, n)$ is the braid group of type D_n. In this case, it is easy to see that, in (41), the relations expressing s_0^2 and s_1^2 are: $s_0^2 = s_1^2 = 1 + \frac{(q - q^{-1})}{2}(s_0 + s_1)$. Using that s_0 and s_1 commutes, the fact that $s_0^2 = s_1^2$ implies that $(s_0 s_1^{-1})^2 = 1$. As a consequence, the theorem can be formulated in this case as follows: the algebra $Y_{2,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$ is the quotient of the braid group of type D_n by the relations:

$$s_0^2 = s_1^2 = 1 + \frac{(q - q^{-1})}{2}(s_0 + s_1) \quad \text{and} \quad s_i^2 = 1 + \frac{(q - q^{-1})}{2}(S_i + s_i)\,, \quad i = 2, \dots, n-1\,,$$

where $S_1 := s_0$ and $S_i := s_{i-1} s_i S_{i-1} s_i^{-1} s_{i-1}^{-1}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n-1$.

Proof of the theorem. As an intermediary step towards the proof of Theorem 5.6, we provide another presentation of $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$. To do so, we will consider the algebra $\widetilde{Y}_{d,p,n}$ with generators $\widetilde{g}_0$, $\widetilde{g}_1$, …, $\widetilde{g}_{n-1}$ and $\widetilde{a}_0$ subject to the following relations :

- **(R'1)** :
$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{g}_i \widetilde{g}_j &=& \widetilde{g}_j \widetilde{g}_i & \text{for } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \text{ such that } |i-j| > 1\,, \\ \widetilde{g}_i \widetilde{g}_{i+1} \widetilde{g}_i &=& \widetilde{g}_{i+1} \widetilde{g}_i \widetilde{g}_{i+1} & \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}\,, \\ \widetilde{g}_i^2 &=& 1 + (q - q^{-1})\, \widetilde{e}_i\, \widetilde{g}_i & \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}\,, \end{cases}$$
- **(R'2)** :
$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{g}_i \widetilde{g}_0 &=& \widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_i & \text{for } i \in \{3, \dots, n-1\}\,, \\ \widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_2 \widetilde{g}_0 &=& \widetilde{g}_2 \widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_2\,, & \\ \widetilde{g}_0^2 &=& 1 + (q - q^{-1})\, \widetilde{e}_0\, \widetilde{g}_0\,, & \end{cases}$$
- **(R'3)** : $\widetilde{g}_2 \widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_1^{-1} \widetilde{g}_2^{-1} \widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_1^{-1} = \widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_1^{-1} \widetilde{g}_2 \widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_1^{-1} \widetilde{g}_2^{-1}$,
- **(R'4)** : $\underbrace{\widetilde{g}_1 \widetilde{a}_0 \widetilde{g}_0^{-1} \widetilde{g}_1 \widetilde{g}_0^{-1} \widetilde{g}_1 \dots}_{p+1 \text{ terms}} = \underbrace{\widetilde{a}_0 \widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_1^{-1} \widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_1^{-1} \dots}_{p+1 \text{ terms}}$,

- **(R'5)** : $\left\{ \begin{array}{rcll} \widetilde{a}_0^{d/p} & = & 1\,, & \\ \widetilde{a}_0\widetilde{g}_0\widetilde{g}_1^{-1} & = & \widetilde{g}_0\widetilde{g}_1^{-1}\widetilde{a}_0\,, & \\ \widetilde{a}_0\widetilde{g}_i & = & \widetilde{g}_i\widetilde{a}_0 & \text{for } i\in\{2,\dots,n-1\}\,, \end{array} \right.$

where $\widetilde{e}_i$ for $i=0,1,\dots,n-1$ are defined as follows :

$$\widetilde{e}_0=\widetilde{e}_1=\frac{1}{d}\sum_{1\le s\le d}(\widetilde{g}_0\widetilde{g}_1^{-1})^s \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widetilde{e}_i:=\widetilde{g}_{i-1}\widetilde{g}_i\widetilde{e}_{i-1}\widetilde{g}_i^{-1}\widetilde{g}_{i-1}^{-1}\ \ (i=2,\dots,n-1)\,. \tag{43}$$

Proposition 5.8. *The algebra $\widetilde{Y}_{d,p,n}$ is isomorphic to $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$.*

Proof. We consider the following map from the set of generators of $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ (from the presentation of Lemma 5.3) to $\widetilde{Y}_{d,p,n}$:

$$\Phi\ :\quad a_0\mapsto\widetilde{a}_0\,,\qquad a_1\mapsto\widetilde{g}_0\widetilde{g}_1^{-1}\,,\qquad g_i\mapsto\widetilde{g}_i\,,\ i=1,\dots,n-1$$

and the following map from the set of generators of $\widetilde{Y}_{d,p,n}$ to $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$:

$$\Psi\ :\quad \widetilde{a}_0\mapsto a_0\,,\qquad \widetilde{g}_0\mapsto a_1g_1\,,\qquad \widetilde{g}_i\mapsto g_i\,,\ i=1,\dots,n-1\,.$$

We need to check that both maps extend to algebra homomorphisms. Then they will clearly be inverse to each other and thus provide the desired isomorphism. To do so, we use the presentation of $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ given in Lemma 5.3. We start with the following list of remarks:

- Relations (R'1) correspond to Relations (R1).
- To see that Relation (R'4) corresponds to $g_1a_0g_1^{-1}=a_0a_1^p$, we add a factor $\widetilde{g}_1^{-1}\widetilde{g}_1$ in the left hand side of (R'4) to obtain $\widetilde{g}_1\widetilde{a}_0\widetilde{g}_1^{-1}(\underbrace{\widetilde{g}_1\widetilde{g}_0^{-1}\widetilde{g}_1\widetilde{g}_0^{-1}\widetilde{g}_1\dots}_{p\text{ terms}})$. This gives the desired relations thanks to the definitions of Φ and Ψ.
- Relations (R'5) correspond to $a_0^{d/p}=1$, $a_0a_1=a_1a_0$ and $g_ia_0g_i^{-1}=a_0$ if $i\ge 2$.
- The first relation in (R'2) corresponds to $g_ia_1=a_1g_i$ if $i\ge 3$.
- The second relation in (R'2) corresponds to $g_2a_1g_1g_2=a_1g_1g_2a_1g_1$. Now take the rightmost g_1 and send it to the left hand side. Then, using the braid relation between g_1 and g_2, one obtains $g_2a_1g_2^{-1}g_1g_2=a_1g_1g_2a_1$ which is equivalent to $g_2a_1g_2^{-1}=a_1a_2$.
- Relation (R'3) corresponds to the fact that $g_2a_1g_2^{-1}$ commutes with a_1. Taking into account that $g_2a_1g_2^{-1}=a_1a_2$ (preceding item), it is equivalent to the fact that a_1 commutes with a_2.

As a consequence of the preceding list of remarks, to prove that Ψ extends to a morphism, it remains only to check that the relation $\widetilde{g}_0^2=1+(q-q^{-1})\widetilde{e}_0\widetilde{g}_0$ is preserved. We thus need to show that $(a_1g_1)^2=1+(q-q^{-1})e_1a_1g_1$. Using that $g_1a_1=a_1^{-1}g_1$, we see that the left hand side is g_1^2, and using that $a_1^d=1$, we see that $e_1a_1=e_1$. This shows the desired assertion.

Now, to prove that Φ extends to a morphism and to conclude the proof, it remains only to check that the relation $g_1a_1g_1^{-1}=a_1^{-1}$ is preserved by Φ. We thus have to show that $\widetilde{g}_1\widetilde{g}_0\widetilde{g}_1^{-1}\widetilde{g}_1^{-1}=\widetilde{g}_1\widetilde{g}_0^{-1}$, which is equivalent to

$$\widetilde{g}_0^2=\widetilde{g}_1^2\,. \tag{44}$$

By (R'2), this is equivalent to the relation $\widetilde{e}_1\widetilde{g}_0=\widetilde{e}_1\widetilde{g}_1$. We have already seen above that (R'4) can be written $\widetilde{g}_1\widetilde{a}_0\widetilde{g}_1^{-1}=\widetilde{a}_0(\widetilde{g}_0\widetilde{g}_1^{-1})^p$. Together with $\widetilde{a}_0^{d/p}=1$ and the fact that $\widetilde{a}_0$ and $\widetilde{g}_0\widetilde{g}_1^{-1}$ commute, this yields $(\widetilde{g}_0\widetilde{g}_1^{-1})^d=1$. Using this, we have then $\widetilde{e}_1\widetilde{g}_0\widetilde{g}_1^{-1}=\widetilde{e}_1$ which gives $\widetilde{e}_1\widetilde{g}_0=\widetilde{e}_1\widetilde{g}_1$ and thus (44) is satisfied. □

End of the proof of Theorem 5.6. By Proposition 5.8, we need to prove that the quotient of the group algebra of the braid group of type $G(d,p,n)$ by Relations (41) is isomorphic to the algebra $\widetilde{Y}_{d,p,n}$. We will prove that an isomorphism is given by $s_i \leftrightarrow \widetilde{g}_i$ $(i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1)$ and $\alpha_0 \leftrightarrow \widetilde{a}_0$.

First we will check that Relations (R'1)–(R'5) are satisfied for the generators s_0, s_1, s_2, α_0 in the considered quotient of the braid group (for the rest of the proof, s_0, s_1, s_2, α_0 will denote the generators in the quotient). A verification is needed only for Relations (R'3), (R'4) and the second line in (R'5).

First note that $(s_0 s_1^{-1})^d = 1$ implies that $e_1 s_0 s_1^{-1} = e_1$ and thus $e_1 s_0 = e_1 s_1$. This in turn means $s_0^2 = s_1^2$, or in other forms,

$$s_0 s_1^{-1} = s_0^{-1} s_1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad s_1 s_0^{-1} = s_1^{-1} s_0 \ . \tag{45}$$

Now we proceed in several steps:

- We deal first with (R'4). We start with (Br4) and write it on the form

  $$s_1 \alpha_0 s_1^{-1} \cdot \underbrace{(s_1 s_0 s_1 \dots)}_{p \text{ terms}} \cdot \underbrace{(\dots s_0^{-1} s_1^{-1} s_0^{-1})}_{p \text{ terms}} = \alpha_0 \ .$$

  Using (45), we can reorganise the position of the negative exponents to obtain $s_1 \alpha_0 s_1^{-1} (s_1 s_0^{-1})^p = \alpha_0$. This gives Relation (R'4) for α_0, s_0, s_1.

- Then we prove that $\alpha_0 s_0 s_1^{-1} = s_0 s_1^{-1} \alpha_0$. The braid relation $\alpha_0 s_0 s_1 = s_0 s_1 \alpha_0$ asserts that α_0 commutes with $s_0 s_1$. Therefore, in order to prove that α_0 commutes with $s_0 s_1^{-1}$, it is enough to prove that α_0 commutes with s_1^{-2} (or, equivalently, with s_1^2). To do so, we note that we have proved in the preceding item that $s_1 \alpha_0 s_1^{-1} = \alpha_0 (s_0 s_1^{-1})^p$. Besides, using (45), we have $s_1 \cdot s_0 s_1^{-1} \cdot s_1^{-1} = s_1 \cdot s_0^{-1} s_1 \cdot s_1^{-1} = s_1 s_0^{-1} = (s_0 s_1^{-1})^{-1}$. Therefore, we have

  $$s_1^2 \cdot \alpha_0 \cdot s_1^{-2} = s_1 \cdot \alpha_0 (s_0 s_1^{-1})^p \cdot s_1^{-1} = \alpha_0 (s_0 s_1^{-1})^p (s_0 s_1^{-1})^{-p} = \alpha_0 \ .$$

  This concludes the verification of $\alpha_0 s_0 s_1^{-1} = s_0 s_1^{-1} \alpha_0$.

- Finally, we prove (R'3) for s_0, s_1, s_2. We start from (Br3), which asserts that $s_0 s_1$ commutes with $s_2 s_0 s_1 s_2$, and we make the following reasoning, where $[x, y]$ denotes $xy - yx$:

  $$\begin{aligned} [s_0 s_1 \,,\, s_2 s_0 s_1 s_2] = 0 \qquad &\Rightarrow \qquad [s_0 s_1^{-1} \,,\, s_2 s_0 s_1 s_2] = 0 \qquad (\text{ if } [s_1^{-2} \,,\, s_2 s_0 s_1 s_2] = 0 \,) \\ &\Rightarrow \qquad [s_0 s_1^{-1} \,,\, s_2 s_0 s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1}] = 0 \qquad (\text{ if } [s_0 s_1^{-1} \,,\, (s_2 s_1^2 s_2)^{-1}] = 0 \,) \ . \end{aligned}$$

  The last assertion is Relation (R'3) for s_0, s_1, s_2.

  So we need first to check that indeed s_1^{-2} (or, equivalently, s_1^2) commutes with $s_2 s_0 s_1 s_2$. This follows from the following calculation

  $$s_2 s_0 s_1 s_2 \cdot s_1 = s_2 s_0 \cdot s_2 \cdot s_1 s_2 = s_0 \cdot s_2 s_0 s_1 s_2 \ ,$$

  together with $s_0^2 = s_1^2$, which was stated in (45).

  Then it remains to check that $s_0 s_1^{-1}$ commutes with $(s_2 s_1^2 s_2)^{-1}$ (or, equivalently, with $s_2 s_1^2 s_2$). In fact, both s_0 and s_1 commute with $s_2 s_1^2 s_2$. For s_1, it follows from the braid relation between s_1 and s_2. For s_0, it follows from the fact that $s_1^2 = s_0^2$ (this is (45) again) and the braid relation between s_0 and s_2.

Reciprocally, we need to prove that all the braid relations (Br1)–(Br5) together with Relations (41) are satisfied by the generators of $\widetilde{Y}_{d,p,n}$. The only non-trivial relations to check are:

$$(\widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_1)^d = 1 \,, \quad \widetilde{a}_0 \widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_1 = \widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_1 \widetilde{a}_0 \,, \quad \underbrace{\widetilde{g}_1 \widetilde{a}_0 \widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_1 \widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_1 \dots}_{p+1 \text{ terms}} = \underbrace{\widetilde{a}_0 \widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_1 \widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_1 \dots}_{p+1 \text{ terms}} \quad \text{and} \quad (\widetilde{g}_2 \widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_1)^2 = (\widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_1 \widetilde{g}_2)^2 \ .$$

By Proposition 5.8 we can check these relations in $Y_{d,n}$, where $\widetilde{a}_0, \widetilde{g}_0, \widetilde{g}_1, \widetilde{g}_2$ correspond respectively to $t_1^p, t_1^{-1} t_2 g_1, g_1, g_2$. This is immediate for the two first relations and straightforward for the two remaining ones. Alternatively, for the two last relations, one can use that $(\widetilde{g}_0 \widetilde{g}_1)^d = 1$ to obtain the analogues of (45) and then reverse the arguments used to prove (R'4) and (R'3) in the first part of the proof. We skip the details. □

5.3 Parametrization of the simple $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$-modules

Let $\theta : \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}] \to \mathbb{C}$ be a specialization. We now apply the results of Section 3.3 in order to study the representation theory of $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$.

Let us fix $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \Lambda_\mu^e$ with $\mu \in \mathrm{Comp}_d(n)$. For convenience we write $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\boldsymbol{\lambda}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^p)$ where each $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^i$ consists in d/p-partitions of $\mu_{(d/p-1)i+1} + \dots + \mu_{di/p}$. We set $s := s(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ to be the minimal integer such that for all $i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$, we have $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^i = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{i+s}$ (where the indices are understood modulo p).

The inertia subgroup $\mathfrak{H}_{V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is then generated by $\sigma_{d/p}^s$, where the permutation $\sigma_{d/p}$ of $\mathfrak{S}_d$ was introduced at the beginning of Section 5.1. So $\mathfrak{H}_{V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/(p/s)\mathbb{Z}$ and thus its irreducible representations are of all one dimensional and naturally indexed by $\{1, \dots, p/s\}$

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathfrak{H}_{V^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}}(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})) = \{S^i \mid i = 1, \dots, p/s(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\}$$

We are now ready to apply Proposition 3.3.

Theorem 5.9. *The simple $\mathbb{C}_\theta Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$-modules are given by the set*

$$\{V_{S^i}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \mid \boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \Lambda_\mu^e, \mu \in \mathrm{Comp}_d(n), i = 1, \dots, p/s(\boldsymbol{\lambda})\}$$

and we have $V_{S^i}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \simeq V_{S^{i'}}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}'}$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}'$ are in the same $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$-orbit and $i = i'$. In addition, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V_{S^i}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = \frac{y(\boldsymbol{\lambda}, e)s(\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{p}$$

Remark 5.10. In the same spirit as in Section 3.2, one can also show that the algebra $Y_{d,n}^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}$ is not isomorphic to the usual cyclotomic Hecke algebra of type $G(d, p, n)$ (see the definition for example in [4, §6.1]), using the parametrization result obtained in [4, Th. 6.4] and looking at the specialization $\theta : \mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}] \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $\theta(q)^2$ is a primitive root of order d.

References

[1] F. Aicardi and J. Juyumaya, An algebra involving braids and ties, Preprint ICTP IC/2000/179, Trieste.

[2] F. Aicardi and J. Juyumaya, Markov trace on the algebra of braids and ties, Mosc. Math. J. 16 (2016), no. 3, 397-431.

[3] M. Broué, Introduction to complex reflection groups and their braid groups. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1988. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

[4] M. Chlouveraki and N. Jacon, Schur elements for the Ariki-Koike algebra and applications. J. Algebraic Combin. 35 (2012), no. 2, 291-311.

[5] J. Espinoza and S. Ryom-Hansen, Cell structures for the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra and the algebra of braids and ties, arXiv:1506.00715.

[6] M. Geck and N. Jacon, Representations of Hecke algebras at roots of unity. Algebra and Applications, 15. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2011. xii+401

[7] N.Jacon and L. Poulain d'Andecy, An isomorphism theorem for Yokonuma–Hecke algebras and applications to link invariants. Math. Z. 283 (2016), no. 1-2, 301-338.

[8] J. Juyumaya, Markov trace on the Yokonuma–Hecke algebra, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 13 (2004) 25–39.

[9] G. Lusztig, Character sheaves on disconnected groups. VII. Represent. Theory 9 (2005), 209–266.

[10] L. Poulain d'Andecy, Invariants for links from classical and affine Yokonuma–Hecke algebras, to apperar in "Algebraic Modeling of Topological and Computational Structures and Applications", Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics (2016).

[11] A. Ram and J. Ramagge, Affine Hecke algebras, cyclotomic Hecke algebras and Clifford theory. (English summary) A tribute to C. S. Seshadri (Chennai, 2002), 428–466, Trends Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.

[12] S. Ryom-Hansen, On the Representation Theory of an Algebra of Braids and Ties, J. Algebra Comb. 33 (2011) 57–79.

Addresses:

Nicolas Jacon, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, UFR Sciences exactes et naturelles, Laboratoire de Mathématiques EA 4535 Moulin de la Housse BP 1039, 51100 Reims, FRANCE
nicolas.jacon@univ-reims.fr

Loïc Poulain d'Andecy, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, UFR Sciences exactes et naturelles, Laboratoire de Mathématiques EA 4535 Moulin de la Housse BP 1039, 51100 Reims, FRANCE
loic.poulain-dandecy@univ-reims.fr